

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/966,610 | 09/27/2001 | Gregory Robert Roelofs | US018149 | 4781 |
| 7590 11/05/2003 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Corporate Patent Counsel Philips Electronics North America Corporation 580 White Plains Road Tarrytown, NY 10591 | | | DINH, DUC Q | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2674 | 4 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/05/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/966,610 | ROELOFS, GREGORY ROBERT | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | DUC Q DINH | 2674 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of twill apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum, cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| <u> </u> | August 2002 | | | | | |
| | is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | | atters, presecution as to the morite is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-16 is/are pending in the ap | plication. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-16</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on | | disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep | • | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C | . § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the company of the prior | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic | · | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language products and the foreign language products are products and the foreign language products and the foreign language products are products and the foreign language products and the foreign language products are products and the foreign language products and the foreign language products are products ar | visional application has | been received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | and to con | 00 | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of | v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

· Application/Control Number: 09/966,610

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 6-7, 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brady (U. S. Patent No. 4,140,997) in view of Weiner (Pub. No.:2002/0030638).

In reference to claims 1-3 and 12, Brady discloses an electronic visual display systems which operate on an input signal to provide visual outputs having patterns, colors and motions which may be varied in accordance with a predetermined scheme. The amplitude, tempo and frequency content of the input signals are used in a variety of logical selection functions to control the color, pattern and motion of lights in a visual display matrix having three dimensional properties in a manner influenced by the contents of programmed memory components. The memory component programming is sufficiently flexible to provide a variety of visual effects from the systems (see Fig. 1-2 and associated text). Weiner implicitly discloses that a display can be comformable to any surface of an object (by virtue of the operation described in Figs. 9-10 and page 5 [0050]).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to learn the teaching of Weiner, i.e.: making the display being flexible for covering

·Application/Control Number: 09/966,610

Art Unit: 2674

surface object, in the device of Brady so that the display can be used in different audio or video devices for displaying visual sound effect for the system.

In reference to claim 6, Brady discloses a switch 125 is provided to select the divider output of the display clock divider 123 to provide a clock input to a display program counter 127 via the switch 125. When the switch 125 is in any of the positions except position A shown in FIG. 4b, the output of display clock divider is sampled under manual control. When switch 125 is in position A the clock rate is placed under program control of the contents of a divider clock memory 129 whose function will be discussed in more detail subsequently.

In reference to claim 7, Brady discloses the programmable frequency content selection logic in Fig. 1.

In reference to claims 9-11, Brady discloses in FIG. 5a the visual display system individual lighting components is illustrated in more detail. A geometrically shaped, frosted, plastic or glass diffuser cover 42, 43 is used to diffuse the light from a colored light bulb source 44 which is mounted in a conventional light socket 45 on the display base board 41. The diffuser cover 42, 43 is frosted so that it emanates the light bulbs' color uniformly across its entire surface. Thus each light in the display array of FIG. 6 allows a uniformly pure color emanation without producing a point source effect. Moreover, the display light covers 42 and 43 may be made in a variety of geometrical shapes and sizes as illustrated in the matrix of FIG. 5b. These diffuser covers also serve to conceal the shape and color of the bulb contained therein so that when a particular bulb is not illuminated in a display its color remains concealed from external visual contact. The diffuser covers 42 and 43 comprise a translucent, frosted or matte finish plastic.... While a particular display matrix utilizing conventional light bulbs has been illustrated

·Application/Control Number: 09/966,610

Art Unit: 2674

with respect to the systems of the present invention, it will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that light emitting diode (LED) sources could be used, if desired, or other more conventional visual displays including color cathode ray tubes (CRT) could be used as desired for the display portion 33, 34, 35 of the system of FIG. 2. The novel three-dimensional display system described with respect to FIGS. 5a and 5b, however, gives an effect heretofore unknown in the prior art (col. 6, line 56-col 7, line 39). In addition, Weiner discloses that the substrate 20 may include a paper or comparable textile-based substrate, a portion of which may be conducive to various marking, copying, printing or silk-screening tasks, such as ordinary bond paper, cloth or linen paper, of any size, weight and color; and may also include other materials such as transparency materials, vinyl, labels, card stock, vellum, cotton, Nylon, RTM., polyesters, Tyvek.RTM., etc. As described below, other components associated with the memory may also be embedded with or affixed to the substrate material. It is further contemplated, and applicable to many of the applications described below, that the substrate may include another, upper layer to waterproof, weatherproof or otherwise seal a surface of the substrate from its environment. Such a substrate may be used outdoors, perhaps affixed to the outside of a vehicle or structure (page 2 [0026].

3. Claim 4-5 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brady and Weiner in view of Lebby et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,534,888).

In reference to claims 4-5, Brady and Weiner do not discloses the device is used electroluminescent material or transistors. Lebby discloses an electronic book are made by suitable technology, such as, but not limited to, liquid crystal display technology, vacuum field

- Application/Control Number: 09/966,610

Art Unit: 2674

emission device technology, electro-luminescent technology, plasma liquid crystal technology, light emitting diode technology, and the like.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to learn the teaching of Weiner, i.e.: using the electroluminescent or liquid crystal display, in the system of Brady and Weiner for providing flexible display device for the system.

In reference to claims 13-15, refer to the rejection as applied to claims above. In addition, Lebby discloses that the electroluminescent comprising polymer display as claimed (page 7, lines 13-15).

In reference to claim 16, Weiner discloses that the display is used for a CE device such as the GPS system as claimed.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments, see pages 9-12 of the amendment filed 8/21/03, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 11 under 112 first paragraph rejection have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. With respect to the art rejection to claims 1-7 and 9-12, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Brady, Weiner and Lebby as elaborated in this Office Action. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.
- 5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

- Application/Control Number: 09/966,610

Art Unit: 2674

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **DUC Q DINH** whose telephone number is (703) 306-5412 The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8:00.AM-4:00.PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD A HJERPE can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivery response should be brought to: Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, Va Sixth Floor (Receptionist)

. Application/Control Number: 09/966,610

Art Unit: 2674

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

DUC Q DINH Examiner Art Unit 2674

DQD October 29, 2003

RICHARD HJERPE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER